

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 1745

Examiner :

Serial No. : 10 045,848

Filed : November 7, 2001

Inventors : Hiroki Nakamaru

: Tomoshige Ono

: Yoshiie Kato



22469

Title : IRON POWDER FOR
: REMEDIATION AND METHOD
: FOR REMEDIATING SOIL,
: WATER OR GAS

Confirmation No: 2392

Docket: 1315-01

DECLARATION

I, L. L. DANIEL CHRISTENBURY, am a resident of 111 E. Lanark Road, Centerville, DE 19807. I am a principal in the firm of Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis. I am admitted to practice in the States of Virginia and Pennsylvania and am registered to practice before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

The entire complete application, including pages 2, 3, 13, 17 and 19 of the Specification, was deposited in the U.S. Post Office via Express Mail, Certificate No.: EL864966362US for delivery to the United States Patent and Trademark Office under date of November 7, 2001.

I personally checked each page of the entire application and found them all to be present in their entirety and in the correct order, which included aforementioned pages 2, 3, 13, 17 and 19 prior to mailing. This is in accordance with my established regular practice of checking each page of the specification for every application I file in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

I then signed the application papers which were, at that point, placed directly into an Express Mail Envelope and sealed, without disturbing any of the application pages, without removing any of the application papers or disturbing their order. This is possible by virtue of the fact that the file copies and client copies of the Application are made prior to the final checking of the application and determination that all of the application pages are present and in the correct order.

The undersigned declares that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are

true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and thus such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date

TDC:dh
(215)563-1810

T. Daniel Christenbury
Reg. No. 31,750
Attorney for Applicants

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 1745

Examiner :

Serial No. : 10 045,848

Filed : November 7, 2001

Inventors : Hiroki Nakamaru

: Tomoshige Ono

: Yoshiei Kato



22469

Title : IRON POWDER FOR
: REMEDIATION AND METHOD Confirmation No: 2392
: FOR REMEDIATING SOIL
: WATER OR GAS Docket: 1315-01

DECLARATION

I, GINOLA L. JOHNSON, am a resident of 338 Cobble Creek Curve, Newark, DE 19702. I am a secretary in the firm of Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis.

The entire complete application, including pages 2, 3, 13, 17 and 19 of the Specification, was deposited in the U.S. Post Office via Express Mail, Certificate No.: EL864966362US for delivery to the United States Patent and Trademark Office under date of November 7, 2001.

I personally checked each page of the entire application and found them all to be present in their entirety and in the correct order, which included aforementioned pages 2, 3, 13, 17 and 19 prior to mailing. This is in accordance with my established regular practice of checking each page of the specification for every application before I file it in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

The application papers which were, at that point, placed directly into an Express Mail Envelope and sealed, without disturbing any of the application pages, without removing any of the application papers or disturbing their order. This is possible by virtue of the fact that the file copies and client copies of the Application are made prior to the final checking of the application and determination that all of the application pages are present and in the correct order.

The undersigned declares that all statements made herein of her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and

further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and thus such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date

Ginola L. Johnson

ALCOHOLIC DRINKS

100

— 1 —

SCHILLER'S GARDEN
THE GARDEN OF ANGELS
OR, THE GARDEN OF LOVE.

POST EXPENSES *POST OFFICES*
~~POST EXPENSES~~ ~~POST OFFICES~~

New U.S. Application for Hiroki Nakamura et al entitled IRON POWDER REMEDIATION AND METHOD FOR REMEDIATING SOIL, WATER, GAS

Express Mail Label #E1364966362US

Date: November 7, 2001

Box Patent Applications
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

10/045048
11/07/01

Office: 131501

PCT 346

Summons/Charge stamp this card and return it to the undersigned by certified mail or the enclosed SASE. Check & enclose check, Express Mail Card, the Application Transmittal Letter, in duplicate, Specification, including Claims and Abstract, executed Combined Declaration, Power of Attorney and PCT Application, if any, and Assignment of Patent Only and Crossed Assignment of Patent.

L. David Christenbury

[0007] However, in the vapor extraction methods, the pump and treatment facility, i.e., facilities must be separately provided at the site to render the pollutants harmless after the aforementioned drawing or pumping. Therefore, the treatment cost is increased.

[0008] In the methods in which the excavated soil is thermally destructed at high temperatures and the like, a large-scale facility is required for the heat treatment of the soil. Furthermore, since soil particles themselves deteriorate due to heat, and functions of, for example, growing living organisms, which are inherent in the soil, are substantially degraded, it is difficult to reuse the soil after the treatment.

[0009] The bi-stabilization method cannot be applied to all soil because the soil at each site has different characteristics. Even when it is applied, reactions proceed slowly since they rely on the function of microbes and the method requires a long treatment time and, therefore, has poor usefulness in practice.

[0010] As a method which might overcome the aforementioned problems of conventional measures against the pollutants, various methods, in which halogenated hydrocarbons as pollutants are contacted with iron functioning as a reducing agent to render them harmless by dehalogenation, have been suggested and have attracted attention.

[0011] For example, Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication (Tokukyō) No. 5-541820 (WO94/08177) describes a method in which a channel is dug in a flow path of groundwater and filled with iron in the form of particles, slices, fibers, or the like. Subsequently, the iron is contacted with the halogenated hydrocarbons, which cause pollution of the groundwater to dehalogenate and render the halogenated hydrocarbons harmless. The iron used in that process is not necessarily specifically prepared and, therefore, generates waste sludge, the type of which may be fine granular powders such as iron pyrite or the like, generated during the steps of calcination and the like.

[0012] Another similar to the aforementioned method, in which halogenated

hydrocarbons contained in the groundwater as pollutants are rendered harmless by using it and it is mixed with activated carbon, is described in Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication (Tokukai) No. 6-507551 (WO 92/19556).

[0013] Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication (Tokukai) No. 6-11-235577 discloses a method in which chlorinated organic compounds contained in the soil above the level of groundwater or the excavated soil is contacted with an iron powder and rendered harmless by dechlorination. The iron powder used in this method must have a C content of 0.1% or more and a specific surface area of 0.05 m²/g or more. Further, this iron powder must have such a particle size that 50% by weight or more of the iron powder passes through sieve openings of 150μm. A spray iron ore/reduced iron powder has been recommended for such an iron powder.

[0014] International Patent Publication WO 97/04878 describes a method in which a mixed metal produced by precipitating at least one metal selected from the group consisting of Cu, Co, Ni, Mo, Bi, Sn, Pb, Ag, Cr, Pd, Pt and Au on to iron powder is contacted with an aqueous composition polluted with halogenated hydrocarbons, and the halogenated hydrocarbons are dehalogenated and, therefore, the aqueous composition is remedied.

[0015] Each of the aforementioned methods, in which halogenated hydrocarbons as pollutants are contacted with iron, functioning as a reducing agent and rendered harmless by dehalogenation, has a certain advantage and, therefore, is superior to conventional measures against the polluted soil and the polluted groundwater.

[0016] However, the iron used in the aforementioned methods may not be optimum for the aforementioned purposes and uses and, therefore, there has been a problem in that halogenated hydrocarbons cannot always be destroyed at a sufficient speed.

[0017] On the other hand, the method disclosed in International Patent Publication WO 95/05105 is intended to improve the speed of dehalogenation of the halogenated

The metal's diffusion sphere may be aerobic or anaerobic. The pH of the medium will be preferably within 4.5-10. When groundwater is remediated, the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the groundwater is not specifically limited at 1, therefore, the invention can be applied over a wide range.

[0058] The halogenated hydrocarbons contained in the media, soil, water, and gas undergo a dehalogenation reaction by contact with the iron powder for remediation, according to the invention, and are decomposed into harmless compounds containing no halogen elements and hydrogen halides. For example, TCl_4 receives an electron (be reduced) from the surface of the iron powder, and forms an unstable intermediate, for example, chloroacetylene, by β -elimination. Furthermore, the resulting intermediate is decomposed into compounds not containing chlorine, for example, acetylene. Sometimes, further reactions occur, although at all events, the dehalogenation reaction proceeds by the reception of the electron (be reduced) from the surface of the iron powder and, as a result, decomposition into harmless compounds proceeds.

18 Examples

[0059] The invention will be described below using Examples, although the invention is not limited to these.

(Examples 1 to 3)

(a) Preparation of iron powder for dehalogenation

[0060] An as-milled powder was produced from medium steel at 1100 °C by a water atomization process. Subsequently, this reduction was performed in a stream of hydrogen at 900 °C for 1 hour, and then, pulverization and adjustment of particle diameter were performed. The components in the iron powder at this stage were examined with the result that the contents of the primary components of iron and its content in the iron powder was 98% or more.

Sample	Iron powder for remediation	Metal compound	Halogenated hydrocarbons destruction test Soil	Ground water
	Particle diameter amount of powder passed through 75 µm sieve	catalytic rate ^a %/cm ² by area		
	100% by mass or more	TIN 5	0.05	0.05
Example 1	90% by mass or more	TIN 10	0.01	0.02
2	60% by mass or more	TIN 30	0.02	0.01
3	60% by mass or more	TIN 60	0.10	0.20
Comparative example 1	60% by mass or more	0	0.99	0.98
Example 5	60% by mass or more	TiO ₂ 5	0.20	0.30
6	60% by mass or more	TiO ₂ 10	0.03	0.05
7	60% by mass or more	TiO ₂ 30	0.02	0.03
8	60% by mass or more	TiO ₂ 60	0.70	0.80
Comparative example 2	60% by mass or more	TiO ₂ 10	0.97	0.98

- 1) Ratio of TCH concentration of sample to TCH concentration of reference sample after test for 5 days
 2) Electric resistivity TIN = $2.17 \times 10^7 \Omega \text{ m}$
 TiO₂ = $3 \times 10^7 \Omega \text{ m}$
 TiO₂ = $1.2 \times 10^7 \Omega \text{ m}$

(Examples 9 to 12)

[0071] An iron powder for remediation was prepared in a manner similar to those in Examples 1 to 4 by using a V₂O₅ powder (electric resistivity $\rho = 1 \times 10^7 \Omega \text{ m}$) instead of the TiN powder, and the destruction test of the halogenated hydrocarbons in the soil and the destruction test of trichloroethylene in the ground water were carried out. The results are shown in Table 2.

destruction test of the halogenated hydrocarbons in the groundwater were carried out in a manner similar to that in Example 1. The results of the destruction tests and measurements are shown in Table 1.

(Comparative example 2)

[0074] An iron powder having a TiO₂ powder covering rate of 10% by area was prepared using a TiO₂ powder electric resistivity $\rho = 1.2 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$, having an electric resistivity greater than $1 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$ instead of the TiN powder. Thereafter, the destruction test of the halogenated hydrocarbons in the soil and the destruction test of the halogenated hydrocarbons in the groundwater were carried out in a manner similar to that in Example 1. The results of the destruction tests and measurements are shown in Table 1.

[0075] When the inorganic compound is not present on the iron powder (Comparative example 1), or the inorganic compound (TiO₂) having an electric resistivity greater than about $1 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$ is present on the iron powder (Comparative example 2), the speed of destruction is low and, therefore, the trichloroethylene concentrations are hardly decreased after testing for 8 days. On the other hand, decreases in the concentration are clearly observed when an inorganic compound having an electric resistivity of about $1 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$ or less (TiN) - electric resistivity $\rho = 2.17 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$, TiO₂ - electric resistivity $\rho = 8 \times 10^6 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$, or V₂O₅ - electric resistivity $\rho = 1 \times 10^7 \Omega \cdot \text{m}$) is present on the iron powder for remediation according to the invention (Examples 1 to 12).

[0076] The iron powder for remediation according to the invention has an unexpectedly and remarkably increased dehalogenation speed of halogenated hydrocarbons. There is no fear of the problem of secondary pollution due to metals contained in the iron powder, and the iron powder of the invention can be inexpensively produced. Furthermore, the iron powder of the invention can be applied to conventional methods, in which it is possible to use only the polluted soil, groundwater and the like, without adding any kind